

EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR SUSTAINING
YIELD UNDER WATER DEFICIT CONDITION



A Dissertation

By

Mst. Masuma Akhter
Student No. 1405164

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN
CROP PHYSIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY**

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PHYSIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY
HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY

DINAJPUR, BANGLADESH

FEBRUARY 2019

EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR SUSTAINING
YIELD UNDER WATER DEFICIT CONDITION



A Dissertation

Submitted to

Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology
Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur
In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Mst. Masuma Akhter
Student No. 1405164

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PHYSIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY
HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY
DINAJPUR, BANGLADESH
FEBRUARY 2019

EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR SUSTAINING YIELD UNDER WATER DEFICIT CONDITION

A Dissertation

Submitted to

Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology
Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur
In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Mst. Masuma Akhter
Student No. 1405164

Approved as the style and content by

Professor Dr. Md. Abu Hasan
(Supervisor)

Professor Dr. Md. Maniruzzaman Bahadur
(Co-supervisor)

Professor Dr. Md. Maniruzzaman Bahadur
Chairman
Examination Committee

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PHYSIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY
HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY
DINAJPUR, BANGLADESH

FEBRUARY 2019

**DEDICATED
TO
BELOVED PARENTS**

DECLARATION

I, Mst. Masuma Akhter, hereby declared that the dissertation titled “**EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR SUSTAINING YIELD UNDER WATER DEFICIT CONDITION**” submitted to Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur in the fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** in Crop Physiology and Ecology is a record of bona-fide research designed and carried out by me. No part of this dissertation was produced elsewhere for any degree. It does not contain results of any collaborative study.

(Mst. Masuma Akhter)



Professor Dr. Md. Abu Hasan

Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology

Email: mdabuhasan@yahoo.com

Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University

Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work embodied in this dissertation is an original research work done by Mst. Masuma Akhter under my supervision for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Crop Physiology and Ecology. The references cited in it have duly been acknowledged. The style and contents of the dissertation have been approved and recommended for submission.

(Professor Dr. Md. Abu Hasan)

Supervisor

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

The author is the daughter of M. Khalilur Rahman and Halida Banu. She was born on December 1973 at Thakurgaon district of Bangladesh. The author received primary education at Mathurapur-2 Govt. Primary School, Thakurgaon. She completed her secondary school education from Mathurapur Public High School, Thakurgaon Road, Thakurgaon in 1988 and higher secondary education from Thakurgaon Govt. College, Thakurgaon in 1990 and obtained first division both the examinations. She completed B. Sc. Ag. degree in 1994 (held in 1998) with first class from Hajee Mohammad Danesh Agricultural College, Dinajpur an affiliated institution of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. She obtained MS degree in Agronomy in 2001 from Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur with CGPA 3.62 out of 4.0. The author started professional career in 2004 as a Scientific Officer at Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Gazipur. She is the member of Krishibid Institution and Bangladesh Society of Agronomy. She is happily married and blessed with only one daughter (Sohana Mashtura Islam).

The author

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are devoted to Almighty Allah, Who the supreme authority of this universe, and Who enabled the author to complete the research works and submit the dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Crop Physiology and Ecology.

The author feels proud to express her deepest gratitude, sincere appreciation and immense indebtedness to her research supervisor Professor Dr. Md. Abu Hasan, Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur, Bangladesh for his scholastic and intellectual guidance, continuous inspiration, meaningful suggestions, valuable advices, and suggestions in carrying out research works and preparation of the thesis.

Likewise, profound gratitude and appreciation is conveyed to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Md. Maniruzzaman Bahadur, Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, HSTU, Dinajpur for his cordial suggestions, constructive criticisms and valuable suggestion to complete the dissertation. The author would like to express her heart full respect and boundless gratitude to the Chairman, Professor Dr. Sripati Sikder, Chairman, Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, HSTU, and Professor Dr. Abu Khayer Md. Muktadirul Bari Chowdhury, Md. Hafizur Rahman Hafiz, Associate Professor and Md. Rabiul Islam, Assistant Professor, Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, HSTU, Dinajpur for their help and co-operation during research works.

The author express her deep gratitude and appreciation to Director General Dr. Naresh Chandra Dev Barma, Dr. Paritosh Kumar Malaker (Ex-Director, Training and Communication Wing), CSO- Dr Zahidul Islam Sarker and her all colleagues of Bangladesh Wheat and Maize Research Institute (BWMRI) and Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), for their constant help and encouragement during the whole period of the study. The author wishes to extend her special thanks to Dr. Md. Jalal Uddin (Ex- director WRC and Research) Dr. Abu Zaman Sarker, Dr. M. Abdul. Hakim, Dr. M. Mahfuz Bazzaz, Dr. Akbar Hossain, Dr. Md. Nur Alam, Most. Bilkis Banu, Md. Rafiqul Islam, Manik Chandra Roy (SRDI), Kamrul, Bithika and Sohel for their kind co-operation. The author wish to express my deserve thanks to all the office staff of the Department of Crop Physiology and Ecology, HSTU, Dinajpur, and all the office staff of the BWMRI, Dinajpur for their help & co-operation during research work and deputation period.

The author is greatly indebted to her parents M. Khalilur Rahman and Halida Banu, her husband Dr. M. Shahidul. Islam (Professor, Department of Agronomy, HSTU, Dinajpur), sisters, daughter and Remi for their love and everlasting encouragement and cooperation of this academic pursuit from the beginning to completion. Thanks also extended to her relatives, friends and other colleagues for their continuous support during her course of study. Special thanks to HSTU and WRC, Dinajpur for providing the place and environment for carrying out this research. Finally, it is an honor to thank by the authority of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute for providing the scholarship and granting study leave.

FEBRUARY 2019

The Author

ABSTRACT

Drought is a worldwide problem, constraining wheat production seriously and recent global climate change has made this situation more staid. Selection of drought tolerant wheat genotypes as well as to development of proper crop management techniques is useful tools to enhance the drought tolerance. Regarding these issues, four experiments were conducted during 2014 to 2017 at Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University and Bangladesh Wheat and Maize Research Institute (BWMRI), Dinajpur. Effect of PEG 6000 induced water stress (0, -2, -4 bars) on the germination and seedling growth of 30 wheat genotypes was evaluated in petri-dishes on September 2014. Wheat genotypes (BARI Gom 25, E 38, BAW 1118, E 18, Shatabdi, BAW 1138, E 34, E30, BAW 1135 and E 3) showing less affected speed of germination (rate of germination (%), co-efficient of germination and germination vigour index) and seedling growth (length and dry weights of shoot and root) along with higher STI (Stress tolerant index) based on seedling dry weight were considered as comparatively water deficit stress tolerant genotypes. The wheat genotypes (BAW 1151, E 42, BAW 1170, E 29, BAW 1161, E 2, BAW 1157, E 23, BAW 1130 and BARI Gom 26) showed reverse value of the aforesaid traits due to severe stress designating comparatively water deficit stress susceptible genotypes. To screen out more authentically based on yield traits and yield, all genotypes were grown in the field in 2014-15 under three water regimes viz. well watered (three irrigations), one irrigation and no irrigation condition. In no irrigated plots, wheat genotypes- BARI Gom 25, E 18, E 38, BAW 1118, BAW 1170, E 24, E 28, E 3, BAW 1171 and E 34 showed higher relative yield attributes and yield indicating tolerant, while BARI Gom 26, BAW 1130, BAW 1140, BARI Gom 27, BAW 1143, BARI Gom 28, BAW 1138, BAW 1157, E 23 and Sourav showed lower relative value signifying susceptible genotypes. Considering experiments I and II, four water deficit stress tolerant (BARI Gom 25, E 18, E 38 and BAW 1118) and two susceptible genotypes (BARI Gom 26 and BAW 1130) were evaluated physiologically in the third experiment. In view of chlorophyll content, SPAD value, water status (Relative water content, water retention capacity), canopy temperature depression, cell membrane stability (CMS), production of osmolytes (proline and soluble sugar), yield traits and yield, the genotype E 38 showed the highest stress tolerance and the genotype BAW 1130 showed the lowest stress tolerance. To ameliorate the adverse effect of drought stress, these two genotypes (E 38 and BAW 1130) were evaluated with five potassium fertilizer treatments in combination with water regimes during 2016-17. Additional soil and foliar application of potassium enhanced water stress tolerance in relation to LAI, LDW, TDW, water relation, nutrients (N, P, K) uptake, yield traits and yield. However, considering the results of seed germination, seedling growth, physiology, yield traits and yield, the E 38 can be declared as a drought tolerant genotype, and addition of 75 kg K ha⁻¹ may advised to apply for cultivation of wheat under rainfed condition in the drought prone area of Bangladesh.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page no.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	VIII
ABSTRACT	IX
LIST OF TABLES	XVII
LIST OF FIGURES	XIX
LIST OF APPENDICES	XXII
CHAPTER I: General Introduction	1
CHAPTER II: Review of literature	6-48
2.1 Botanical description of wheat plant	6
2.2 Origin and evolution of wheat	8
2.3. Cytogenetic origin of wheat	9
2.4. Effect of drought stress on wheat	9
2.4.1 Effect of drought stress (PEG induced) on germination and seedling growth	11
2.4.2 Effect of drought stress on the phenology	16
2.4.3 Effect of drought stress on the water status of plant	19
2.4.4 Effect of drought stress on chlorophyll content	23
2.4.5 Effect of drought stress on the photosynthesis	26
2.4.6 Effect of drought stress on canopy temperature depression	29
2.4.7 Effect of drought stress on cell membrane stability (CMS)	30
2.4.8 Impact of drought on wheat growth and development	32
2.4.9 Impact of drought on yield traits and yield	34
2.4.10 Impact of drought stress on the compatible solutes	39
2.5 Alleviation of drought stress through K	43
CHAPTER III	49
Experiment I: Evaluation of wheat genotypes under polyethylene glycol (PEG) induced water deficit stress during germination and early seedling growth	49-79
INTRODUCTION	49

LIST OF CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page no.
MATERIALS AND METHOD	51-54
3.1.1 Location and duration	51
3.1.2 Experimental treatments and design	51
3.1.3 Collection of seed	51
3.1.4 Placement of seed for germination	51
3.1.5 Data recorded on germination characters	53
3.1.6 Data recorded on shoot length, root length and seedling dry weight	54
3.1.7 Stress tolerance index (STI)	54
3.1.8 Statistical analysis	54
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55-79
3.1.9 Germination characters	55
3.1.10 Early seedling growth	63
3.1.11 Grouping of genotypes through cluster analysis and principal component analysis	75
Experiment II: Screening of wheat genotypes for drought prone area under variable water regimes	80-115
INTRODUCTION	80
MATERIALS AND METHODS	82-87
3.2.1 Location and duration	82
3.2.2 Soil characteristics of the experimental field	82
3.2.3 Climate conditions during the experimental period	82
3.2.4 Plant materials	83
3.2.5 Land preparation	83
3.2.6 Experimental design and treatments	83
3.2.7 Fertilizer application	83
3.2.8 Sowing of seeds	84
3.2.9 Intercultural operation	84
3.2.10 Harvesting	84

LIST OF CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page no.
3.2.11 Data collection	85
3.2.12 Relative value	86
3.2.13 Soil moisture content	86
3.2.14 Statistical analysis	87
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	88-115
3.2.15 Soil moisture content	88
3.2.16 Variability in quantitative characters	88
3.2.17 Grouping of genotypes through cluster analysis and principal component analysis	110
Experiment III: Effect of water stress deficit on the physiological and yield attributes of wheat genotypes	116-166
INTRODUCTION	116
MATERIALS AND METHODS	118-128
3.3.1 Location and duration	118
3.3.2 Soil and climate	118
3.3.3 Experimental design and layout	118
3.3.4 Experimental treatments	118
3.3.5 Land preparation	119
3.3.6 Fertilizer application	119
3.3.7 Sowing of seed	119
3.3.8 Irrigation	120
3.3.9 Intercultural operations	120
3.3.10 Sampling/ data collection	120
3.3.10.1 Spike dry matter accumulation	120
3.3.10.2 Estimation of proline	120
3.3.10.3 Estimation of soluble sugar and starch	121
3.3.10.4 Estimation of chlorophyll	123
3.3.10.5 Relative leaf water content	123

LIST OF CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page no.
3.3.10.6 Water retention capacity	123
3.3.10.7. Canopy temperature depression (CTD)	124
3.3.10.8 SPAD value	124
3.3.10.9 Cell membrane stability	124
3.3.10.10 Shoot length	125
3.3.10.11 Leaf area index	125
3.3.10.12 Tillers m^{-2}	126
3.3.10.13 Phenological attributes	126
3.3.10.14 Plant height	126
3.3.10.15 Spike characteristics	126
3.3.10.16 Number of spikes m^{-2}	127
3.3.10.17 Thousand grain weight	127
3.3.10.18 Grain and biological yields	127
3.3.10.19 Soil moisture content	128
3.3.10.20 Stress susceptibility index	128
3.3.10.21 Statistical analysis	128
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	129-166
3.3.11 Soil moisture content	129
3.3.12 Chlorophyll content in the flag leaf	129
3.3.13 SPAD value	132
3.3.14 Flag leaf and kernel proline content	135
3.3.15 Soluble sugar content in the flag leaf and kernel	136
3.3.16 Starch content in kernel	138
3.3.17 Relative water content	138
3.3.18 Water retention capacity	140
3.3.19 Canopy temperature depression	142
3.3.20 Cell membrane stability	144

LIST OF CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page no.
3.3.21 Spike dry matter accumulation	146
3.3.22 Shoot length	146
3.3.23 Leaf area index	149
3.3.24 Number of tillers	150
3.3.25 Phenological parameters	152
3.3.26 Yield contributing characteristics	155
3.3.27. Water stress susceptible index (SSI)	165
Experiment IV: Improvement of drought tolerance of wheat by increasing level of potassium fertilization	167-204
INTRODUCTION	167
MATERIALS AND METHODS	169-177
3.4.1 Location and duration	169
3.4.2 Soil and climate	169
3.4.3 Experimental design and layout	169
3.4.4 Experimental treatments	170
3.4.5 Land preparation	170
3.4.6 Fertilizer application and irrigation	170
3.4.7 Sowing of seed	171
3.4.8 Irrigation	171
3.4.9 Intercultural operations	171
3.4.10 Data collection	171
3.4.10.1 Leaf area index, leaf dry weight and total dry weight	171
3.4.10. 2 Relative leaf water content	172
3.4.10.3 Water retention capacity	172
3.4.10.4 Chemical analysis of plant	173
3.4.10.5 Plant height	175
3.4.10.6 Spike character	175
3.4.10. 7 Number of spikes m ⁻²	176

LIST OF CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page no.
3.4.10.8 Thousand grain weight	176
3.4.10.9 Grain and above ground biological yields	176
3.4.10. 10 Soil moisture content	177
3.4.11 Statistical analysis	177
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	178-204
3.4.12 Soil moisture content	178
3.4.13 Leaf area index	178
3.4. 14 Leaf dry weight	181
3.4.15 Total dry weight	182
3.4.16 Relative water content	182
3.4.17 Water retention capacity	185
3.4.18 N content in flag leaf	187
3.4.19 P content in flag leaf	189
3.4.20 K content in flag leaf	191
3.4.21 Plant height	193
3.4.22 Spike length	195
3.4.23 Number of spikes m ⁻²	196
3.4.24 Number of spikelets spike ⁻¹	198
3.4.25 Number of grains spike ⁻¹	199
3.4.26 Thousand grain weight	200
3.4.27 Grain yield	201
3.4.28 Above ground biological yield	204
CHAPTER IV: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	205-215
CHAPTER V: REFERENCES	216-266
APPENDICES	267-274

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Title of Tables	Page no.
3.1.1	List of wheat genotypes used for the present study	52
3.1.2	Mean square and co-efficient of variation of different quantitative characters as influenced by stress level and wheat genotypes	56
3.1.3	Range and mean of quantitative characters of thirty wheat genotypes under well water, moderate water stress (-2 bars) and higher water stress (-4 bars) conditions	56
3.1.4	Distribution of 30 wheat genotypes into five different clusters subjected to water deficit stress	76
3.2.1	Mean square and co-efficient of variation of different quantitative characters of thirty wheat genotypes as influenced by water regimes and wheat genotypes	90
3.2.2	Range and mean of quantitative characters of thirty wheat genotypes under well water, one irrigation and no irrigation condition	90
3.2.3	Distribution of 30 wheat genotypes into five different clusters subjected to water deficit stress	111
3.3.1	Flag leaf chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ FW) content of different wheat genotypes as influenced by water regimes	131
3.3.2	Flag leaf and kernel proline content of different wheat genotypes at 16 days after anthesis as influenced by water regimes	137
3.3.3	Soluble sugar content in the lag leaf and karnel of different wheat genotypes at 16 DAA as influenced by water regimes	137
3.3.4	Effect of water regimes on days required to attain heading, anthesis and physiological maturity of different wheat genotypes	153
3.3.5	Effect of water deficit stress on plant height, spike length and spikelets spike ⁻¹ of different wheat genotypes at harvest	156
3.3.6	Effect of water deficit stress on yield attributes of different wheat genotypes	158
3.3.7	Effect of water deficit stress on grain and biological yield of different wheat genotypes	162

LIST OF TABLES (Contd.)

Table no.	Title of Tables	Page no.
3.4.1	Effect of increasing level of potassium on the leaf area index, leaf dry weight and total dry weight of wheat under water deficit stress conditionat 60 DAS	180
3.4.2	Effect of increasing levels of potassium on leaf nitrogen content of wheat under water deficit stress condition	188
3.4.3	Effect of increasing level of potassium on leaf phosphorus content of wheat under water deficit stress condition	190
3.4.4	Effect of increasing level of potassium (K) on leaf potassium content of wheat under water deficit stress condition	192
3.4.5	Effect of increasing level of potassium on plant height and spike length of wheat under water deficit stress condition	194
3.4.6	Effect of increasing level of potassium on the spikes m^{-2} , spikelets $spike^{-1}$, grains $spike^{-1}$ and 1000-grain weight (g) of wheat under water deficit stress condition	197
3.4.7	Effect of increasing level of potassium on grain and biological yield of wheat under water deficit stress condition	202

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no.	Title of Figures	Page no.
2.1	Origin and development of cultivated tetraploid and hexaploid wheat	10
3.1.1	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on rate of germination under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	57
3.1.2	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on rate of germination (%) under higher water stress (-4 bars)	57
3.1.3	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on coefficient of germination under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	59
3.1.4	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on coefficient of germination under higher water stress (-4 bars)	59
3.1.5	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on vigour index under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	61
3.1.6	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on the vigour index under higher water stress (-4 bars)	61
3.1.7	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on shoot length (cm) under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	64
3.1.8	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on the shoot length (cm) under higher water stress (-4 bars)	64
3.1.9	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on root length (cm) under moderate water stress (- 2 bar)	66
3.1.10	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on root length (cm) under higher water stress (- 4 bar)	66
3.1.11	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual shoot weight (mg) under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	68
3.1.12	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual shoot weight (mg) under higher water stress (-4 bars)	68
3.1.13	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual root weight (mg) under moderate water stress (-2 bars)	71
3.1.14	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual root weight (mg) under higher water stress (-4 bars)	71
3.1.15	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual seedling weight (mg) under moderate water stress (- 2 bar)	73

LIST OF FIGURES (Contd.)

Figure no.	Title of Figures	Page no.
3.1.16	Stress tolerance index of thirty wheat genotypes based on individual seedling weight (mg) under higher water stress (- 4 bar)	73
3.1.17	Scatter distribution of 30 wheat genotypes based on their principal component scores superimposed with clustering.	78
3.2.1	Soil moisture content (0-15 cm depth) at different days after sowing as influenced by water regimes.	89
3.2.2	Relative plant height of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	92
3.2.3	Relative plant height of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	92
3.2.4	Relative spike length of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	94
3.2.5	Relative spike length of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	94
3.2.6	Relative number of spikelets spike ⁻¹ of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	96
3.2.7	Relative number of spikelets spike ⁻¹ of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	96
3.2.8	Relative number of spikes meter ⁻² of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	98
3.2.9	Relative number of spikes meter ⁻² of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	98
3.2.10	Relative number of grains spike ⁻¹ of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	101
3.2.11	Relative number of grains spike ⁻¹ of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	101
3.2.12	Relative thousand grain weight of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	103
3.2.13	Relative thousand grain weight of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	103
3.2.14	Relative grain yield of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	106

LIST OF FIGURES (Contd.)

Figure no.	Title of Figures	Page no.
3.2.15	Relative grain yield of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	106
3.2.16	Relative biological yield of 30 wheat genotypes under one irrigated condition	108
3.2.17	Relative biological yield of 30 wheat genotypes under no irrigated condition	108
3.2.18	Scatter distribution of 30 wheat genotypes based on their principal component scores superimposed with clustering.	113
3.3.1	Soil moisture content (0-15 cm depth) at different days after sowing as influenced by water regimes.	130
3.3.2	SPAD value (mean \pm SE) of different wheat genotypes at different days after anthesis as influenced by water deficit stress.	133
3.3.3	Starch (mg g ⁻¹ dw) content in kernel of different wheat genotypes at 16 days after anthesis influenced by water deficit stress	139
3.3.4	Relative water content of flag leaf of different wheat genotypes at 16 days after anthesis as influenced by water deficit stress	139
3.3.5	Water retention capacity (mean \pm SE) of different wheat genotypes as influenced by water deficit stress	141
3.3.6(a)	Canopy temperature depression ($^{\circ}$ C) of different wheat genotypes at 16 days after anthesis influenced by water deficit stress	143
3.3.6(b)	Canopy temperature depression ($^{\circ}$ C) of different wheat genotypes at 24 days after anthesis influenced by water deficit stress.	143
3.3.7	Per cent membrane injury of different wheat genotypes as influenced water deficit stress.	145
3.3.8	Spike dry matter accumulation (mean \pm SE) in different wheat genotypes at different days after anthesis as influenced by water deficit stress	147
3.3.9	Shoot length (cm) of different wheat genotypes at different days after sowing influenced by water deficit stress	148
3.3.10	Leaf area index of different wheat genotypes at different days after sowing influenced by water deficit stress	151

LIST OF FIGURES (Contd.)

Figure no.	Title of Figures	Page no.
3.3.11	Number of tiller m ⁻² of different wheat genotypes at maximum tillering stage (45 DAS) influenced by water deficit stress	151
3.3.12	Stress susceptibility index of different wheat genotypes based on grain yield	166
3.3.13	Stress susceptibility index of different wheat genotypes based on above ground biological yield	166
3.4.1	Soil moisture content (0-15 cm depth) at different days after sowing as influenced by water regimes	179
3.4.2	Effect of increasing level of potassium content on relative leaf water content of wheat under water deficit stress condition	183
3.4.3	Effect of increasing level of potassium content on water retention capacity (%) (mean±SE) of wheat under water deficit stress condition	186

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix no.	Title of appendices	Page no.
1	General and physical characteristics of the soil of the experimental site	267
2	Chemical Characteristics of the soil of the experimental site	267
3	Weather data for growing season of wheat, 2014-2015	268
4	Weather data for growing season of wheat, 2015-2016	268
5	Weather data for growing season of wheat, 2016-2017	269
6	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following yield parameters of wheat	270
7	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following growth/ vegetative parameters of wheat	270
8	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following physiological parameters of wheat	271
9	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following physiological parameters of wheat	271
10	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following physiological parameters of wheat	272
11	Spike dry weight of different wheat genotypes at different days after anthesis as influenced by water deficit stress	272
12	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following yield parameters of wheat	273
13	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following physiological parameters of wheat	273
14	Calculated mean square of different sources of variance for the following physiological parameters of wheat	274