#### PERFORMANCE OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum*) UNDER DIFFERENT MULTIPURPOSE TREES AS AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM



## A THESIS BY MD. RAFIQUL ISLAM Student No. 1105107 Session: 2011-2012 Thesis Semester: July-December, 2013

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.S.) IN AGROFORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT

DEPARTMENT OF AGROFORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, DINAJPUR

#### April 2014

# PERFORMANCE OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum*)UNDER DIFFERENT MJRPOSE TREES AS<br/>AGROFORE:AGROFORE:SYSTEM



A THESIS BY MD. RAFIQUL ISLAM Student No. 1105107 Session: 2011-2012

Thesis Semester: July-December, 2013

Submitted to the Department of Agroforestry and Environment, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur in Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

> MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.S.) IN AGROFORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGROFORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, DINAJPUR

#### April 2014 PERFORMANCE OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum*) UNDER DIFFERENT MULTIPURPOSE TREES AS AGROFOF / SYSTEM



A THESIS

ΒY

MD. RAFIQUL ISLAM

Student No. 1105107

Session: 2011-2012

Thesis Semester: July-December, 2013

Approved as to style and contents by:

.....

Md. Hafiz All Amin

Prof. Dr. Md. Shafiqul Bari

Supervisor

Co-supervisor

Md. Hafiz All Amin Chairman Examination Committee And

CHAIRMAN, DEPARTMENT OF AGROFORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT HAJEE MOHAMMAD DANESH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, DINAJPUR

April 2014

# DEDICATED TO MY BELOVED PARENTS, WIFE AND MY DAUGHTER RAFIA

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are due to Almighty ALLAH, the supreme ruler and creator of the universe who enable me to complete this present piece of work.

The author takes the opportunity to express his sincere gratitude, heartfelt respect, immense indebtedness and the deepest appreciation to his reverend teacher and research supervisor Md. Hafiz All Amin, Assistant Professor Department of Agroforestry and Environment, HSTU, Dinajpur for scholastic guidance, affectionate fillings, valuable suggestions, continuous encouragement and helpful criticisms during the entire period of the research work and successful completion of this manuscript.

The author is honored to express his heartfelt appreciation and gratitude to his co-supervisor Professor Dr. Md. Shafiqul Bari, Department of Agroforestry and Environment, HSTU, Dinajpur for constructive guidance, valuable suggestions and necessary corrections in completing this thesis.

The author would like to express his sincere respect to Dr. Md. Shoaibur Rahman, Associate Professor, Department of Agroforestry and Environment, HSTU, Dinajpur for encouragement, advice and constructive criticism during research work.

He is also grateful to Md. Iman Uddin, Senior Lab. Technician, Agroforestry field worker Md. Abdul Quddus and Nawshad Ali, M.L.S.S. for their cordial co-operation. The author would like to take the opportunity to express his boundless gratitude and profound respect to his wife and brothers, and sisters for their blessing, inspiration, sacrifice and moral support and financial help that encouraged and paved the way for higher studies.

The Author

#### ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS

AEZ	:	Agro-ecological zone
AFS	:	Agroforestry System
BARC	:	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
BBS	:	Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics
DAP	:	Days after planting
DMRT	:	Duncan's Multiple Range Test
MP	:	Muriate of Potash
Ν	:	Nitrogen
RCBD	:	Randomized Complete Block Design
TSP	:	Triple Super phosphate
t/ha	:	ton/hectare

# Performance of wheat under different multipurpose trees as agroforestry system

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at the agroforestry research field, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur, during November 2012 to March 2013 to evaluate the performance of wheat under 3 multipurpose tree species in Agroforestry system. The experiment was laid out following a single factor Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications. The unit plot size was 2.5 m×2.5 m (6.25 m<sup>2</sup>). The treatments were as  $T_1$  = Wheat sole cropping (Control),  $T_2$  = Wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry,  $T_3$  = Wheat + Mango based Agroforestry and  $T_4$  = Wheat + Guava based Agroforestry.

The results of the research showed that the effect of different production system had significant response in growth parameter in respect of plant height, stem height, number of

leaves, leaf length and breadth, total tiller leaf dry weight and stem dry weight at 30, 60 and 90 DAS. At 30 days after sowing (DAS) significantly the highest plant height (12.65 cm) was found in wheat + Guva based agroforestry system and the lowest plant height (12.40 cm) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> i.e. wheat production in sole cropping system. At 90 DAS the highest plant height (97.58 cm) was observed in  $T_4$  (wheat + Guava based production system) while the lowest plant height (90.23) was found in wheat production in sole cropping. Significantly the highest grain yield (2.93 t/ha) was recorded in  $T_1$  (wheat sole cropping production system) and the lowest grain yield (2.04 t/ha) was found in  $T_4$  (wheat + Guava based agroforestry system), respectively. From the results it is clear that open field is very good for wheat production but among the Agroforestry systems Kalo koroi based Agroforestry system was the best for wheat cultivation.

#### LIST OF CONTENT CONTENT PAGE TITLE PAGE i APPROVAL SHEET iii DEDICATION iν ACKNOWLEDGEMENT V ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS vi vii ABSTRACT LIST OF CONTENT viii LIST OF TABLES Х

	LIST OF APPENDICES			
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION			
CHAPTER 2	REVIEW OF	OF LITERATURE		
	2.1	Concept of Agroforestry	4	
	2.2	Cereal based Agroforestry	13	
	2.3	Orchard and woodlot based Agroforestry systems	15	
	2.4	Agroforestry systems Agroforestry systems	17	
CHAPTER 3	MATERIAL	S AND METHODS	28- 36	
	3.1	Description of the experimental site	28	
	3.2	Experimental period	29	
	3.3	Experimental Design and Treatment	29	
	3.4	Land Preparation	29	
	3.5	Fertilizer Application	30	
	3.6	Experiential materials	30	
	3.7	Sowing of seeds	32	
	3.8	Intercultural operation	32	

CONTENT	-			P	AGE
	3.9	Harvesting, weighting	threshing	and	33
	3.10	Sampling and	data recordir	ng	33
	3.11	Procedure of r	recording data	а	34
	3.12	Statistical ana	lysis		36
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS A 37-50	ND DISCUSSI	ION		
	4.1	Effect of Agro growth param	3 3		37

	4.1.1	Plant height	37
	4.1.2	Stem height	38
	4.1.3	Number of leaves hill <sup>-1</sup>	39
	4.1.4	Leaf length	40
	4.1.5	Leaf breadth	41
	4.1.6	Total number of tiller hill-1	42
	4.1.7	Leaf dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup>	43
	4.1.8	Stem dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup>	44
	4.1.9	Root dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup>	45
	4.2	Effect of Agroforestry system on	46
	401	yield parameters of wheat	A /
	4.2.1	Number of spikes hill <sup>-1</sup>	46
	4.2.2	Length of spike hill <sup>-1</sup>	46
	4.2.3	Number of grains hill <sup>-1</sup>	47
	4.2.4	1000-grain weight	47
	4.2.5	Grain yield	47
	4.3	Effect of Agroforestry system on	
		quality parameters of wheat	49
	4.3.1	Germination %	49
	4.3.2	Vigor %	49
	4.3.3	Shoot length	49
	4.3.4	Root length	50
CHAPTER	SUMMARY	AND CONCLUSIONS	51-54
	REFERENC	ES	55-68
	APPENDIC	ES	69-70

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABL	E	PAGE
4.1	Effect of Agroforestry system on plant height (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.2.	Effect of Agroforestry system on stem height (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing	39
4.3	Effect of Agroforestry system on Number of leaves hill-1of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.4	Effect of Agroforestry system on leaf length (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing	41
4.5	Effect of Agroforestry system on leaf breadth (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.6	Effect of Agroforestry system on total tiller hill <sup>-1</sup> of wheat at different days after sowing	43
4.7	Effect of Agroforestry system on leaf dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.8	Effect of Agroforestry system on stem dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.9	Effect of Agroforestry system on root dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing	
4.10	Effect of Agroforestry system on yield contributing characters of wheat	48
4.11	Effect of Agroforestry system on yield quality parameter of wheat	50

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPEND	IX	PAGE
I	The physical and chemical properties of soil in Agroforestry farm, HSTU, Dinajpur.	69
11	Weather data of the experimental site during the period from November 2012 to March 2013	70

# CHAPTER 1

Unsustainable exploitation of forest resources and decreasing deforestation. productivity and environmental degradation in the agricultural lands with multiple natural resource management problems are the major environmental challenges in Bangladesh. In recent years one of the most common proposed strategies for addressing environmental degradation in rural areas of the tropics is agroforestry. It is an agricultural practice that spans centuries, one that has been used by many indigenous people as a traditional land use option, providing sustainability for early agriculturists while preserving forest resources and biodiversity. These early forms of agroforestry have since evolved to be a dynamic ecologically based, institutionalized natural resources management system, broadly define as the integration of trees on farms or agricultural landscapes. Agroforestry diversifies and intensifies production, both for subsistence and cash while maintaining forest cover and associated biodiversity (Nair, 1989).

Bangladesh is one of the most densely populated countries of the world having agro based economy which situated in the North-Eastern part of South Asia with a tropical to sub-tropical climate. The population of Bangladesh is 140 million in an area of 147570 sq.

13

#### Chapter 1 Introduction

Kilometers and growth rate is 1.47% per annum (BBS, 2012). This excessive population creates pressure on the cultivated land. The available percapita is land decreasing to an alarming rate of 0.005 ha crop-1 year-1 (Hossain and Bari, 1996). Due to rapid growth of population, people are migrating to forest area and are encroaching forest land for cultivation of food crops. The total forest land area of the country covers about 17.36% of the land area (BBS, 2012). However according to forest master plan and surveys by multilateral donor agencies, a total of 76900 ha or 6% of the country land mass has actual tree coverage. Crop land Agroforestry is production technique or method that combines а agricultural crops and forestry on a piece of crop land to maximize the utilization of natural resources (land, sunlight, water etc). By practicing these methods, farmers can get income both from agriculture and forest products. This farming technique has been expanded on a large scale for effective land use system to meet the requirements.

Wheat is an important cereal crop and ranks first globally and second in Bangladesh both in terms of production and acreage (Anonymous 2010). It is a major staple food crop for more than one third of the world population and is the main staple food for Asia (Shirazi *et al.* 2001). It covers only about 0.39 million hectares of land in Bangladesh and produces about 0.83 million tons

14

per year with an average yield of 2.14 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (an average of 2006-2007 to 2009-2010) (Anonymous 2010). It is mainly cultivated in the north and north-west part of Bangladesh.

It appears that there is much scope for increasing yield of wheat in this country to feed the people. Farmers in our country practice monoculture of wheat. But practicing Agroforestry system with suitable tree crop association may increase total production than that of monoculture system. In Agroforestry system interaction between trees and crops has mainly been focused since sharing of the common resources by different species is the common phenomenon. However these interactions should take place with respect to how the components of Agroforestry utilize and share the resource of the environment and how the growth and components will influence the other (Torquaebian, 1994)

Sun light is the only source that provides energy for photosynthesis, which ultimately helps to get good yield of wheat. Shade influences the soil and air temperature by decreasing the intensity of incoming solar radiation. Shade free treatment increases number of leaves, encourage more photosynthesis resulting the higher production. Shade reduces the light levels, decrease photosynthetic rate which affects food production. Shade decreases the yield due to reduction of size and

#### Chapter 1 Introduction

lower biomass production. (Sing, 1988; Sutter, 1987; Struik, 1985).

In order to meet the food deficit of Bangladesh and to cope with the demand of food for the increasing population wheat production need to increase. On the hand fruit. fodder. fuel. other timber. timber construction materials and raw materials requirement is a crying need. There is no scope to increase monoculture crop command area horizontally. So combined production of wheat and forest species / fruit trees (agroforestry) is the best alternative to meet the entire requirement. Before large scale production of wheat under multipurpose tree species by farmer, tree- crop interaction effect must be studied from scientific point of view. Keeping this view in mind the research has been under taken to assess the following objectives-

- 1. To characterize the vegetative growth of wheat grown under Kala koroi, Mango and Guava based agroforestry system.
- 2. To find out the shading effect on the yield of wheat grown under Kala koroi, Mango and Guava based agroforestry system.
- 3. To compare the growth, yield and quality of wheat grown under partial shading condition.

## CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, the materials and methods have been presented which include brief description of location of the experimental site, soil, climate, materials used and methodology followed in the experiment. The details of these sections are described below.

3.1 Description of the experimental site

3.1.1 Location

The experimental site was selected in the existing Kalo koroi, mango and Guava orchard of the Agroforestry Research Farm, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur. The geographical location of the site was between 25° 13' latitude and 88° 23' longitude, and about 37.5m above the sea level.

#### 3.1.2 Soil characteristics

The experiment was laid out in a medium high land belonging to the AEZ old Himalayan piedmont plain area. The soil texture was sandy loam with p<sup>H</sup> 5.1. The structural class of the soil was fine and the organic matter content was around 1.06%. The characteristics of the soil were previously tested in the Soil Resource Development Institute (SRDI), Dinajpur (Appendix-I).

#### 3.1.3 Climate and weather

The experimental site was situated under the tropical climate characterized by heavy rainfall from July to

August and scanty rainfall at the rest period of the year. Monthly maximum and minimum temperatures, rainfall and relative humidity recorded during the experimental period (November 2012 to March 2013) are included in the Appendix-II.

3.2 EXPERIMENTAL PERIOD

Duration of the experiential period was from November 2012 to March 2013.

3.3 Experimental Design and Treatment

The experiment was laid out following a single factor Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications. Total number of experimental plot was 12. The size of each unit plot was 2.5 m x 2.5 m =  $6.25 \text{ m}^2$ . Nine plots were laid under the multi-purpose tree species and 3 plots were laid in control (open field, sole cropping). The treatments were as follows-

 $T_1 =$  Wheat sole cropping (Control)

 $T_2$  = Wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry

T<sub>3</sub> = Wheat + Mango based Agroforestry

T<sub>4</sub> = Wheat + Guava based Agroforestry

#### 3.4 Land Preparation

The land of the experimental plots was ploughed on 4 November 2012 with a power tiller and it was made ready for sowing on 15 December 2012 by ploughing with a country plough followed by laddering. The corners of the land were spaded and visible larger clods were hammered to break into small pieces. All weeds and stubble were removed from the land. The layout was done as per experimental design. All basal doses of fertilizers as per schedule of the experiment were incorporated into the soil and finally the plots were made ready for sowing.

#### 3.5 Fertilizer Application:

The following fertilizer and manure doses were applied in the field according to Razzaque *et al.* (2000).

Types of Fertilizer	Recommended dose kg/ha
Urea	180-220kg
TSP	140-180 kg
MP	40-50kg
Gypsum	110-120kg
Cow dung	7-10 ton/ha

Sulphur was applied as per experimental specification through Gypsum. One-third of urea and entire amount of other fertilizers were applied as basal dose at the time of final land preparation. The individual plot was spaded and fertilizers were incorporated before sowing. The remaining two-third of urea was top dressed in two equal splits at early tillering and late tillering stages after weeding followed by irrigation.

3.6 Experiential materials

3.6.1 Crop species

WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.) WAS USED IN THIS STUDY AS A TEST CROP. PRODIP (BARI WHEAT 24) WAS USED AS EXPERIMENTAL CROP. THIS VARIETY IS HEAT TOLERANT AND HIGH YIELDING. IT WAS RELEASED IN 2005. THE VARIETY CAN BE CULTIVATED IN ANY PART OF BANGLADESH AND IS SUITABLE FOR OPTIMUM AND LATE PLANTING CONDITIONS. SOME KEY POINTS ABOUT THIS VARIETY

- PLANT HEIGHT: 95-100 CM
- NUMBER OF TILLERS: 3-4
- LEAVES: WIDE AND DEEP GREEN
- HEADING: 64-66 DAYS
- MATURITY: 102-110 DAYS
- SPIKES ARE LONG
- GRAIN NUMBER/SPIKE: 45-50
- GRAIN COLOR: WHITE (YELLOWISH-BROWN)
- GRAINS ARE LARGE AND SHINY
- 1000 grain weight: 48-55 g
- **TOLERANT TO BIPOLARIS LEAF BLIGHT AND RESISTANT TO LEAF RUST**
- THIS VARIETY IS SUITABLE FOR QUALITY BREAD-MAKING DUE TO

#### STRONG GLUTEN

- UNDER LATE PLANTING CONDITION THE VARIETY CAN OUTVIELD KANCHAN BY 10-20%
- ☐ YIELD UNDER FAVORABLE CONDITIONS: 4300-5100 KG/HA

3.6.2 Structural Description of the Trees during the Study Period

Black siris (*Albizia lebbeck*): A handsome tree, decidous, having umbrella foliage, reaching some 30 meter in height and 1 meter in diameter. It produces white flowers in heads. The long dry straw coloured pods are characteristics and rustle in a breeze. It is nearly leafless in part of the year (Gupta, 1993).

The existing plant growth status is given below-

- i. Average plant height 5.80 m
  - ii. Average basal diameter 20.01 cm
- iii. Average crown diameter 250 cm

Guava trees (*Psidium guajava*): This is a popular fruit cultivar in Bangladesh. Tree vigorous, medium tall, 5.8-6.2 m, branching heavy with dense foliage, tendency to produce long shoots and compact crown. Leaves medium, elliptical to oblong in shaped. Fruits medium, roundest in shaped (Bose, *et. al.* 2004).

The existing plant growth status is given below-

- i. Average plant height 3.5 m
- ii. Average basal diameter 10.00 cm
- iii. Average crown diameter 150.0 cm

Mango trees (*Mangifera indica*): This is one of the finest (Amropaly) Indian mango cultivar. It is annual quick growing in nature. Fruits are very attractive,

medium elongated in shaped. The test is superb, with an excellent sugar acid blend (Bose, et. al. 2004).

The existing plant growth status is given below-

- i. Average plant height 3.55 m
- ii. Average basal diameter 12.5 cm
- iii. Average crown diameter 180.0 cm

(This data was collected at the time of data collection on rice at 30 DAS)

#### 3.7 Sowing of seeds

Seeds were sown on 15 November 2012. Sowing was done at the rate of seeds 120 kg/ha. Seeds were sown continuously in lines and were covered by soil with the help of hand. The lines were 20 cm apart, making 12 rows per plot.

#### 3.8 Intercultural operation

Intercultural operations such as weeding, thinning and irrigation were given uniformly in each plot. Weeding was done two times on 20 and 50 days after sowing (DAS). At time of first weeding thinning was done to maintain 5cm distance from plant to plant. The field was irrigated after first weeding and the second at 50 DAS. Plant protection measures such as insecticide or fungicide spray was not required, as the crop was free from any insect or disease attack.

3.9 Harvesting, threshing and weighting

The crop was harvested on 15 March, 2013. The grain and straw were separated by hand threshing and plotwise weight of grain was recorded in kg plot<sup>-1</sup>. The grain yield was later expressed in tha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.10 Sampling and data recording

For collecting data on several plant characters, 5 randomly selected plants were uprooted from each plot before harvesting. The harvested crops were then threshed plot-wise and grain yield was recorded plot-wise on 14% moisture basis as t ha<sup>-1</sup>. From the 5 selected plants per plot the following parameters were recorded.

Data for growth parameter

- Plant height (cm)
- Stem height (cm)
- □ Number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>
- Leaf length (cm)
- Leaf breadth (cm)
- □ Number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>
- Leaf dry weight (g) hill-1
- Stem dry weight (g) hill-1
- Root dry weight (g) hill-1

Data for yield parameter

- □ Number of spikes hill<sup>-1</sup>
- Length of spike hill-1
- □ Number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup>
- 1000 grain weight hill-1

Grain yield (tha-1)

Data for quality parameter

- Germination percentage of seed after harvest
- Vigour index
- Shoot length of seedlings (cm)
- Root length of seedlings (cm)

3.11 Procedure of recording data

Growth parameter

Plant height (cm)

The plant height of 5 randomly selected plants per plot at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and 90DAS was measured from ground level to the tip of the upper most spikelet of the spike.

Stem height

The stem height was measured from the ground level to last node of the plant.

Number of leaves

Leaves were counted from the selected hills at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Leaf length and leaf breadth

Leaf length and breadth were measured by measuring scale in cm.

Number of tillers

Tillers were counted from the selected hills at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Leaves dry weight hill-1

Clean leaves of the same plants were sun dried and kept into oven for 48 hours with 80 °C. The oven-dried leaves were then weighted by a digital electronic balance and expressed in g hill<sup>-1</sup>.

Stem dry weight

Stem of the same plant, were separated from roots, leaves, after sun drying, they were chopped into small pieces and packed into brown paper bag and kept in electric oven for drying. After 48 hours the oven-dried culms were weighted by using a digital electronic balance and expressed in gm hill<sup>-1</sup>.

Root dry weight hill-1

The same procedure described above for leaf was followed for recording root dry weight. Dry weight of root was expressed in g hill<sup>-1</sup>.

Yield parameter

Number of spike

Number of Spikes was counted from the hills, which has at least one visible, spike.

Length of spike

Spike length was recorded from the basal node of the rachis to the apex of each spike.

Number of grain

Ten spikes were randomly collected from each sample and grains were counted. Then average of 5 samples was taken.

1000 -grain weight

Thousand grains were randomly selected from each seed stock obtained from in each plot and it was dried in an oven up to 14% moisture content. Then weight was taken on an electronic balance.

#### Grain yield (t/ha)

The grain was threshed from the plants cleaned, dried and weighed. The yield of grain in t /ha was adjusted to 14% moisture content.

#### Quality parameter Germination Percentage

The germination test of the harvested seeds was conducted (in May 2013) in petridish using sand as a media at the Laboratory of Agroforestry Department, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur.The sand was thoroughly washed with water and then oven dried at a temperature of 100° C for 24 hours. Two-third portion of the petridishes was filled by sand. Seeds from Two (2) factors and Three (3) replications were placed in the respective petridish. Optimum moisture condition was maintained in the media during the test. At the end of the germination test (after seven days), only normal seedlings were carefully examined and counted on each replicate of 100 seeds.

#### Vigor Index

The vigor index was calculated during germination test. The number of germinated seeds was counted on the 4<sup>th</sup>,

37

5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> days after placement of seeds and vigour index was calculated by the following formula (Maguire, 1962).

 $VI = \frac{atfirstcount}{Days equiretb firstcount} \square \dots \square \square atlastcount} Number for see @erminate atlastcount}$ 

Length of shoot and root (cm)

The germination test was continued for 7 days. Five seedlings of 7 days were taken at random from sand medium. After washing the seedlings, shoot length and the longest root length were determined and averaged to get mean length. Root length was measured from primary root only.

3.12 Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed using the "Analysis of variance" (ANOVA) technique with the help of computer package MSTAT-C. The mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

### CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present study along with statistical analysis of data have been presented and discussed in this chapter. The present study regarding shading effect of some selected multipurpose tree species on performance of vegetative, yield and yield contributing characters along with quality parameters of wheat *cv.* Prodip, has been shown in Table 4.1 to 4.11. The results are critically discussed here experiment-wise citing accessible literature.

4.1 Effect of Agroforestry system on growth parameters of wheat

#### 4.1.1 Plant height

Plant height of wheat was found significantly different among the treatments by the shading effects of different multipurpose tree species (production system) along with the control treatment at different days after sowing (Table 4.1). At 30 days after sowing (DAS) significantly the highest plant height (12.65 cm) was found in wheat + Guva based agroforestry system T<sub>4</sub> which was similar to T<sub>3</sub> (12.64 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry system and the lowest plant height (12.40 cm) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> i.e. wheat production in sole cropping system. At 60 DAS, significantly the highest plant height (78.40 cm) was found in wheat + Guva based agroforestry system T<sub>4</sub> which was similar to T<sub>3</sub> (75.02 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry system and the lowest plant height (69.76 cm) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> i.e. wheat production in sole cropping system. Afterwards the increasing plant height rate slightly declined, at 90 DAS the highest plant height (97.58 cm) was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based production system) while the lowest plant height (90.23) was found in wheat production in sole cropping (T<sub>1</sub>), respectively.

Table 4.1 Effect of Agroforestry system on plant height (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
$T_1$ (wheat sole cropping)	12.40 c	69.76 d	90.23 d	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	12.53 b	72.77 c	94.26 c	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	12.64 a	75.02 b	96.04 b	
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	12.65 a	78.24 a	97.58 a	
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	0.89	0.524	1.20	

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.2 Stem height

Stem height at 30, 60 and 90 days after sowing was found statistically significant due to different production system of wheat (Table 4.2). Significantly the highest stem height (16.61 cm) was recorded in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry system and the lowest stem height (15.20) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping which was statistically similar to  $T_2$  (15.36 cm) wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry system at 30 DAS. On the other hand, at 60 DAS the highest stem height (67.54 cm) was observed in also  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry system while the lowest stem height (62.16 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping. Finally at 90 DAS the highest stem height (87.24 cm) was recorded in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry system which was followed by  $T_4$  (85.65 cm) and  $T_2$  (81.50 cm), respectively while the lowest stem height (80.20 cm) was initiated in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping.

Table 4.2 Effect of Agroforestry system on stem height (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Stem height (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	15.20 c	62.16 d	80.20 b	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	15.36 c	63.40 c	81.50 ab	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	15.82 b	65.59 b	87.24 a	
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	16.61 a	67.54 a	85.65 ab	
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	0.167	0.275	5.749	

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.3 Number of leaves hill-1

In number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> statistically significant variation was found in different production system of wheat at different days after sowing (Table 4.3). The maximum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (15.54) was found in  $T_1$ 

wheat sole cropping system i.e. in control treatment and the minimum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (12.91) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry system at 30 DAS. On the other hand at 60 DAS the maximum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (17.59) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping system i.e. in control treatment and the minimum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (14.50) was recorded in T₄ wheat + Guava based agroforestry system, respectively. Finally at 90 DAS the maximum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (19.26) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping system i.e. in control treatment and the minimum number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> (16.45) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry system.

#### Table 4.3 Effect of Agroforestry system on Number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup>of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Numbe	er of leave	es hill-1
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	15.54 a	17.59 a	19.26 a
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	13.50 b	15.46 b	18.23 b
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	12.42 d	14.88 c	16.08 d
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	12.91 c	14.50 d	16.45 c
LSD (0.05%)	0.089	0.126	0.14

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.4 Leaf length

Highly significant variation was found in leaf length due to the different production system of wheat at different days after sowing (Table 4.4). Firstly at 30 DAS highest leaf length (28.50 cm) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system followed by T<sub>2</sub> (28.15 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system and the lowest leaf length (23.45 cm)  $T_1$  wheat in sole cropping production system, in respectively. In the middle stage, at 60 DAS significantly the highest leaf length (37.79 cm) was recorded in  $T_4$ wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system which was similar to that of  $T_3$  (37.53 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system. Finally at 60 DAS significantly the highest leaf length (31.34 cm) was observed in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system and the lowest leaf length (27.48 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system which was followed by that of  $T_2$ (29.04 cm), respectively.

Table 4.4 Effect of Agroforestry system on leaf length (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Leaf length (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	23.45 d	35.35 c	27.48 d	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	25.63 c	36.24 b	29.04 c	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	28.15 b	37.53 a	30.58 b	

Chapter 4	Results and
Discussion	

T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	28.50 a	37.79 a	31.34 a
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	0.209	0.352	0.405

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.5 Leaf breadth

Leaf breadth (cm) was slightly influenced by the different production system of wheat at different days after sowing (Table 4.5). At initial stage 30 DAS it was found that there was no statistical variation among the treatments, numerically the highest leaf breadth (1.25) cm) was observed in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system and the lowest (1.22 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system. In the middle stage at 60 DAS significantly the highest leaf breadth (1.39 cm) was found in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava agroforestry production system which based was followed by  $T_3$  (1.38 cm) and the lowest leaf breadth (1.32 cm) was initiated in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system similar to that of  $T_2$  (1.35 cm), respectively. In the final stage at 90 DAS significantly the highest leaf breadth (1.67 cm) was found in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system which was followed by  $T_3$  (1.52 cm) and the lowest leaf breadth (1.43 cm) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system similar to (1.35 cm)  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system, respectively.

Chapter 4 Discussion

Table 4.5 Effect of Agroforestry system on Leaf breadth (cm) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Leaf breadth (cm)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	1.22	1.32 b	1.43 c
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	1.24	1.35 ab	1.46 c
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	1.25	1.38 a	1.52 b
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	1.24	1.39 a	1.67 a
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	NS	0.06	0.06

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.6 Total number of tiller hill-1

In total number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> statistically significant difference was observed by the different agroforestry production system at different days after sowing (Table 4.6). In the first stage at 30 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.90) was found in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.09) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system which was similar to that of T<sub>4</sub> (3.20) and T<sub>2</sub> (3.22), respectively. In the middle stage at 60 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (4.19) in wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.40) in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system followed T<sub>4</sub> (3.57) wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system and T<sub>2</sub> (3.65) wheat + Kalo koroi based

agroforestry system. In the final stage at 90 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (4.25) was found in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.50) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system which was similar to that of T<sub>4</sub> (3.75) and T<sub>2</sub> (3.80), respectively.

Table 4.6 Effect of Agroforestry system on total tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Tot	Total tiller hill-1		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	3.90 a	4.19 a	4.25 a	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	3.22 b	3.65 b	3.80 b	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	3.09 b	3.40 b	3.50 b	
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	3.20 b	3.57 b	3.75 b	
LSD (0.05%)	0.40	0.35	0.42	

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.7 Leaf dry weight hill-1

Leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> was found not significant at 30 DAS but at 60 and 90 DAS it was found statistically significant difference by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.7). At 30 DAS numerically the highest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.58 g) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system and the lowest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.52 g) was initiated in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry system. In middle stage at 60 DAS significantly the highest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.69 g) was observed in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system and the lowest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.35 g) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system. In final stage at 90 DAS significantly the highest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.85 g) was observed in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system and the lowest leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.51 g) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system which was statistically similar to (1.53 g) T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system.

Table 4.7 Effect of Agroforestry system on leaf dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Leaf dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	0.58	1.69 a	1.85 a
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	0.57	1.48 c	1.61 b
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	0.53	1.35 d	1.53 c
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	0.52	1.61 b	1.51 c
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	NS	0.06	0.06

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

#### 4.1.8 Stem dry weight hill-1

In stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> statistically significant difference was found by different production system of wheat at 30 DAS and 90 DAS but not significant at 60 DAS (Table 4.8). In the initial stage at 30 DAS the

maximum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.51 g) was found in  $T_1$ wheat sole cropping production system which was statistically similar to  $(0.46 \text{ g}) T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry system and the minimum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.41 g) in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system followed by (0.43 g) in  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system, respectively. In middle stage at 60 DAS numerically the maximum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.50 g) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and minimum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (1.45 g) was observed in both treatments  $T_2$  and  $T_4$ . In final stage at 90 DAS significantly the maximum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (2.76 g) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (2.23 g) was recorded in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system which statistically similar to (2.40 g) in T<sub>2</sub> wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system, respectively.

Table 4.8 Effect of Agroforestry system on stem dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Stem dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)			
	30 DAS 60 DAS		90 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	0.51 a	1.50 a	2.76 a	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	0.43 b	1.45 a	2.24 c	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	0.41 b	1.46 a	2.23 c	

Chapter 4	Re	sults and
Discussion		

T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	0.46 ab	1.45 a	2.51 b
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	0.06	0.20	0.09

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

## 4.1.9 Root dry weight hill-1

Root dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> was found not significant at 30 60 and 90 DAS of wheat by different production system (Table 4.9). Numerically the maximum root dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.61, 1.90 and 2.91 g) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system where as the minimum root dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (0.42, 1.45 and 2.46 g) was found in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system at 30, 60 and 90 DAS, respectively.

#### Table 4.9 Effect of Agroforestry system on root dry weight hill<sup>-1</sup> (g) of wheat at different days after sowing

Treatments	Root dry weight hill <sup>-1</sup> (g)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	0.61	1.90	2.91	
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	0.45	1.70	2.71	
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	0.49	1.61	2.51	
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	0.42	1.45	2.46	
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	NS	NS	NS	

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

4.2 Effect of Agroforestry system on yield parameters of wheat

4.2.1 Number of spikes hill-1

Highly significant difference was found in number of spikes hill<sup>-1</sup> by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.10). Significantly the highest number of spikes hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.52) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system which was statistically similar to (3.22) in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system and also (3.31) in T<sub>2</sub> wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system. On the other hand the lowest number of spikes hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.16) was observed in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system.

## 4.2.2 Length of spike hill-1

There was no significant variation in length of spike hill<sup>-1</sup> by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.10). Numerically the longest length of spike hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.43 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system where as the shortest length of spike hill<sup>-1</sup> (9.79 cm) was recorded in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system, respectively.

4.2.3 Number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup>

Number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup> highly significant variation was found by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.10). Significantly the highest number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup> (125.5) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system which was followed by (117.5) in  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system while the lowest number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup> (104.5) was recorded in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system similar to (110.5) in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system, respectively.

#### 4.2.4 1000-grain weight

Highly significant variation was recorded in 1000 grain weight by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.10). Significantly the maximum 1000 grain weight (45.17 g) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum1000 grain weight (42.63 g) was observed in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system.

### 4.2.5 Grain yield

Highly significant variation was found in grain yield (tha<sup>-1</sup>) by the different production system of wheat (Table 4.10). Significantly the highest grain yield (2.93 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the lowest grain yield (2.04 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was found in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry system, respectively. The second highest of grain yield (2.67 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in  $T_2$  Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system statistically similar to (2.53 tha<sup>1</sup>) in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry system, respectively.

Table 4.10 Effect of Agroforestry system on yield contributing characters of wheat

Treatments	Number of	Length of	Number	1000-	Grain yield
	spikes hill <sup>-1</sup>	spike hill-1	of grains	grain	(tha-1)
		(cm)	hill <sup>-1</sup>	weight (gm)	
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	3.52 a	10.43	125.5 a	45.17 a	2.93 a
$T_2$ (wheat + Kalo koroi based	3.31 ab	10.28	117.5 b	43.84 b	2.67 b
Agroforestry)					
$T_3$ (wheat + Mango based	3.22 ab	10.08	110.5 c	43.07 c	2.53 bc
Agroforestry)	0.22 00	10.00	11010 0		2.00 80
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based	3.16 b	9.79	104.5 d	42.63 d	2.04 c
Agroforestry)	0.10 0	7.17	101.0 0	12:00 0	2.01 0
LSD ( <sub>0.05%</sub> )	0.30	NS	2.70	0.30	0.25

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

4.3 Effect of Agroforestry system on quality parameters of wheat

4.3.1 Germination %

Germination percentage of wheat was significantly affected by the different production system (Table 4.11). Significantly the highest germination of wheat (89.81%) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system where as the lowest germination (82.75%) was recorded in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system. The moderate germination (85.60%) was recorded in  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system.

## 4.3.2 Vigor %

Vigor percentage of wheat was found statistically highly significant by the different production system (Table 4.11). Significantly the highest vigor (85.81%) was observed in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system which was followed by  $T_2$  (81.44%) wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system. On the other hand the lowest vigor (73.38%) was found in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system similar to (78.50%) in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry system, respectively.

## 4.3.3 Shoot length

Shoot length of wheat was found significant difference due to the different production system (Table 4.11). Significantly the highest shoot length (19.23 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system followed by (18.50 cm) in  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system. On the other hand the lowest shoot length (16.17 cm) was initiated in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system, respectively.

4.3.4 Root length

Root length (cm) of wheat significant difference was found by the different production system (Table 4.11). Significantly the highest root length (14.18 cm) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system followed by (13.54 cm) in  $T_2$  wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system. On the other hand the lowest root length (12.00 cm) was observed in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system, respectively.

Treatments	Germination %	Vigor %	Shoot length (cm)	Root Iength (cm)
T <sub>1</sub> (wheat sole cropping)	89.81 a	85.81 a	19.23 a	14.60 a
T <sub>2</sub> (wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry)	85.60 b	81.44 b	18.50 b	13.54 b
T <sub>3</sub> (wheat + Mango based Agroforestry)	85.59 b	78.50 c	17.06 c	12.87 c
T <sub>4</sub> (wheat + Guava based Agroforestry)	82.75 c	73.38 d	16.17 d	12.00 d
LSD (0.05%)	0.71	0.84	0.30	0.32

Table 4.11 Effect of Agroforestry system on quality parameter of wheat

In column, figures having the similar letter (s) or without letter (s) do not differ significantly by DMRT at  $P \le 5\%$  level.

# CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

A field experiment was carried out at the agroforestry research field, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur, during November 2012 to March 2013 to evaluate the performance of wheat under 3 multipurpose tree species in Agroforestry system. The experiment was conducted in newly established orchard of multipurpose tree species namely *Mangifera indica* (Mango), *Albizia lebbeck (*Kalo koroi) and *Psidium guazava* (Guava) the tree saplings were planted at the spacing (3 m×3 m) in the year 2004 (10 years old)

The experiment was laid out following a single factor Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications. The unit plot size was 2.5 m×2.5 m (6.25  $m^2$ ). The treatments were as  $T_1$  = Wheat sole cropping (Control),  $T_2$  = Wheat + Kalo koroi based Agroforestry,  $T_3$  = Wheat + Mango based Agroforestry and  $T_4$  = Wheat based Agroforestry. The land of +Guava the experimental plots was opened on 4 November 2012 with a power tiller and it was made ready for sowing on 15 December 2012 by ploughing with a country plough followed by laddering. The recommended fertilizer and manure doses were applied in the field.

Before transplanting, the land was fertilized by 180-220 kg/ha Urea, 140-180 kg/ha TSP, 40-50 kg/ha MP and 7-10 ton cow dung respectively. Urea fertilizer was used 3 times in equal portion 1<sup>st</sup> application during final land preparation, 2<sup>nd</sup> 15 DAS and finally 45 DAS in top dressing method followed by irrigation. Seeds of wheat were sown 15 December 2012 in to the main plots. After seed sowing necessary intercultural operations was done accordingly. Five hills were randomly selected from each unit plot for recording different data in each plot on plant characters. The data were recorded three broad heads, i) growth parameters ii) yield parameters and quality parameters. The data were analyzed statistically and means were adjusted by DMRT (Duncan's Multiple Range Test).

The results showed that the effect different production system were significant response in growth parameter in respect of plant height, stem height, number of leaves, leaf length and breadth, total tiller leaf dry weight and stem dry weight at 30, 60 and 90 DAS. The results revealed that at 30 days after sowing (DAS) significantly the highest plant height (12.65 cm) was found in wheat + Guava based agroforestry system T<sub>4</sub> which was similar to T<sub>3</sub> (12.64 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry system and the lowest plant height (12.40 cm) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> i.e. wheat production in sole cropping system. At 60 DAS, significantly the

highest plant height (78.40 cm) was found in wheat + Guava based agroforestry system  $T_4$  which was similar to  $T_3$  (75.02 cm) wheat + Mango based agroforestry system and the lowest plant height (69.76 cm) was recorded in  $T_1$  i.e. wheat production in sole cropping system. Afterwards the increasing rate of plant height rate slightly declined, at 90 DAS the highest plant height (97.58 cm) was observed in  $T_4$  (wheat + Guava based production system) while the lowest plant height (90.23) was found in wheat production in sole cropping ( $T_1$ ), respectively.

Significantly the highest stem height (16.61 cm) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry system and the lowest stem height (15.20) was found in  $T_1$ wheat sole cropping which was statistically similar to  $T_2$ (15.36 cm) wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry system at 30 DAS. On the other hand, at 60 DAS the highest stem height (67.54 cm) was observed in also  $T_4$ wheat + Guava based agroforestry system while the lowest stem height (62.16 cm) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping. Finally at 90 DAS the highest stem height (87.24 cm) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry system which was followed by  $T_4$  (85.65 cm) and  $T_2$  (81.50 cm), respectively while the lowest stem height (80.20 cm) was initiated in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping. In the first stage at 30 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.90) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole

cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.09) was recorded in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system which was similar to that of  $T_4$  (3.20) and  $T_2$  (3.22), respectively. In the middle stage at 60 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (4.19) in wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.40) in  $T_3$ wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system followed  $T_4$  (3.57) wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system and  $T_2$  (3.65) wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry system. In the final stage at 90 DAS the maximum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (4.25) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum number of tiller hill<sup>-1</sup> (3.50) was recorded in  $T_3$ wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system which was similar to that of  $T_4$  (3.75) and  $T_2$  (3.80), respectively.

The present research results showed significant effect of different production system had significant response in yield parameters. Significantly the highest number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup> (125.5) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> wheat sole cropping production system which was followed by (117.5) in T<sub>2</sub> wheat + Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system while the lowest number of grains hill<sup>-1</sup> (104.5) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system similar to (110.5) in T<sub>3</sub> wheat + Mango based agroforestry production system,

Significantly the maximum 1000 grain respectively. weight (45.17 g) was found in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the minimum 1000 grain weight (42.63 g) was observed in  $T_4$  wheat + Guava based agroforestry production system. Significantly the highest grain yield (2.93 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in  $T_1$  wheat sole cropping production system and the lowest grain yield  $(2.04 \text{ tha}^{-1})$  was found in T<sub>4</sub> wheat + Guava based agroforestry system, respectively. The second highest of grain yield (2.67 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in  $T_2$  Kalo koroi system agroforestry production statistically based similar to (2.53 tha<sup>1</sup>) in  $T_3$  wheat + Mango based agroforestry system, respectively.

From the results and foregoing discussion, it is clear that open field is very good for wheat production but in MPT<sub>s</sub> like (Kalo koroi, Guava, Mango trees) it could be grown well, Based on the findings of the experiment it can be concluded that the Kalo koroi tree appears as the best than other trees. The results of this experiment clearly indicated that the choice of species of tree and wheat for an Agroforestry cultivation system is very significant. The crop variety which is usually supposed to be grown in partial shade condition like wheat var. Prodip was performed statistically best in point of yield contributing character in association with Kalo koroi based agroforestry production system.

#### REFERENCES

- Afzalur, R. and Islam, S. S. 1997. Financial viability of agroforestry under participatory approach in Bangladesh: The case of Forest Department's first logged over plots of Dinajpur. Bangladesh J. Forest Sci., 26 (1): 47-55.
- Akber, G., Rafique, M; Ahmad, K. and Babar, N. 1990.Effect of trees on the yield of wheat crop.Agroforestry System 11 : 1-10.
- Akber, G., Rafique, M; Ahmad, K. and Babar, N. 1990.Effect of trees on the yield of wheat crop.Agroforestry System 11 : 1-10.
- Akter, M. S., Abedin, M.Z. and Quddus, M.A. 1989. Why farmers grow trees in agriculture field. Some thoughts, some results. In: Res. Rep, 1988-89. On Farm Res. Div. Joydebpur. p. 04: 20-21
- Akter, M. S., Abedin, M.Z. and Quddus, M.A. 1990. Why farmers grow trees in agriculture field. Some thoughts, some results. In: Res. Rep, 1988-89. On Farm Res. Div. Joydebpur. p. 04: 20-21
- Anonymous 2010. Yearbook of agricultural statistics of Bangladesh, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of planning, Govt. of the people's Republic of Bangladesh. p.47.
- Basri, I. H., Mercado, A.R. and Garrity, D.P. 1990. Upland rice cultivation using leguminous tree hedge rows on strongly acid soils. Paper presented at the IRRI Saturday Semi. 31 March 1990. p. 14.

- Battistelli, A.S., Proietti, S., Scartazza, D., Augusti, A. and Garab, G. 1998. Growth light intensity affects photosynthetic carbon metabolism in spinach photosynthesis: mechanisms and effects. Volume V. Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Photosynthesis, Budapest, Hungary, 17-22 August, 1998.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2012. StatisticalYear Book of Bangladesh. Stat. Divin. Minist. Plann.Govt. Peoples Repub. Bangladesh.
- Bhuva, H. P., Katrodia, J. S., Patel, G. L. and Chundawat, B. S. 1989. Response of intercropping on economics and effects on main crop of mango under south Gujarat conditions. Acta Horticulturae, 231: 316-320.
- Binchy, A. and Morgan, J. V. 1970. Influence of light intensity and photoperiod inflorescence initiation in tomatoes. Irish. J. Agril. Res. 8: 261-267.
- Bose T. K., Mitra S.K and Sanyal D. 2004 Fruits : Tropical and Sub Tropical Vd:1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. New Sarder Press, 9c, Shibnarayan, Das Lane, Calcata-700,006, India. PP. 12-13.
- Braconnier, S. 1998. Maize-coconut intercropping: effects of shade and root competition on maize growth and yield. Agronomie, 18 (5-6): 373-382.
- Calstellani, E. and Prevosta, M. 1961. Experimental contribution to the study concerning the relations between poplars grown to field borders and some

agricultural crops. 13th Congress of the International Union of Forestry Research Organisation, Vienn.

- Chaturvedi, G.S. and Ingram K.T. 1989. Growth and low land rice in response to shade and drainage. Philippines J. of Crop Sci. 14 (2): 61-67.
- Chou, C. H. and Petrie, Z. A. 1976 a. Identification and phytotoxicity activity of compounds produced during decomposition of corn and rye residues in soil. J Chem. Ecol., 2: 369-87.
- Chou, C. H. and Petrie, Z. A. 1976 b. Identification and phytotoxicity activity of compounds produced during decomposition of corn and rye residues in soil. J Chem. Ecol., 2: 369-87.
- Chowdhury, M.K. and Satter, M.A. 1993. Homestead and crop land agroforestry practices in the high Ganges River Floodplain. Pp. 23-56. In: Agroforestry Farming system Linkage in Bangladesh. BARC, Winrock Int., Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Crookstan, R.K., Trehome, K.J., Ludford, P. and Ozbun, J. 1. 1975. Response of beans to shading. Crop Sci., 15: 412-416.
- Dhadwal,.S. and Narain, P. 1984. Effect of shade and profile moisture on yield of K wheat. Soil Conservation Newsletter, 3: 8-9.
- Dhillon, G.S., Singh, S., Dh<sup>i</sup>llon, M.S. and Atwal, A.S. 1982. Developing agricultural practices. Studies on

the shading effect of Eucalyptus on the yield of adjoining crop. Ind. J. Ecol., 9: 228-236.

- Dhukia, R.S., Lodhi, G.P., Jatasra, D.S. and Ram, S. 1988. Productivity of forage and food crops in agroforestry systems under shisharn and siris. Ind. J Range Manage., 9: 53-57.
- Dhukia, R.S., Lodhi, G.P., Jatasra, D.S. and Ram, S. 1988. Productivity of forage and food crops in agroforestry systems under shisharn and siris. Ind. J Range Manage., 9: 53-57.
- Donald, C.M. 1961. Competition for light in crops and pastures. Symposia of the Soc. for exp. Biol. XV. Mechanics in Biological Competition (Proceedings). pp. 282-313.
- Emebiri, L. C. and Nwufo, M. I. 1994. Performance of the West African vegetable crop Telfairia occidentalis as a function of distance from a row of mango trees. Agroforestry Systems, 27 (2): 183-186.
- Gichuru, M.P. and Kang, B.T. 1989. *Calliandra calothrysus* in an alley cropping system with sequentially cropped maize and cowpea in South Western Nigeria, Agrofor. Syst., 9: 191-203.
- Gill, A. S., Roy, R. D. and Bajpai, C. K. 1992. Growth of *Leucaena leucocephala* in a mango based, intercropped agroforestry system. Leucaena Research Reports, 13 (2): 22-23.

- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Res. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. John Wiley and Sons, New York. p. 680.
- Guenzi, W. D. and McCalla, T. M. 1966 a. Phenolic acids on oat, wheat, sorghum and corn residuesand their phytotoxicity. Agron. J., 58: 3003-04.
- Guenzi, W. D. and McCalla, T. M. 1966 b. Phenolic acids on oat, wheat, sorghum and corn residuesand their phytotoxicity. Agron. J., 58: 3003-04.
- Gupta, C. R. and Awasthi, O. P. 1999. Analysis of yield variation in coffee under different canopy shades. Hort. J., 12 (1): 61-65.
- Gupta, R. 1987. The role of medicinal plants in agroforestry in India. In: Khurana, D.K. and Khosla, P.K. (eds.). Agroforestry for rural needs. ISTS, Solan, 1: 297302.
- Gupta. R.K 1993. Multipurpose trees for agro forestry and wasteland utilization on ford 13<sup>th</sup> publishing co. PVT. LTD. Mumby., India B: 132.
- Haque, M.A. 1996. Agroforestry in Bangladesh. Swiss Dev. Coop. Dhaka and Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Mymensingh. p 10-20.
- Haque, M.A. 1996. Agroforestry in Bangladesh. Swiss Dev. Coop. Dhaka and Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Mymensingh. p 10-20.
- Haque, M.A.. 1992. Production of trees in the crop field. Proc. Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Res. Prog. 6: 212-218.

- Hocking D and Islam K (1995) Trees in Bangladesh paddy fields. 2. Survival of trees planted in crop fields. Agroforestry System: 31: 39-57.
- Hocking, D. and Islam, K. 1998. Trees on farms in Bangladesh Growth of top and root pruned trees in woodland rice fields and yields on under storey crops. Agroforestry system 39 : P101-115.
- Hossain, K.L., Wadud, M.A., Hossain, K.S. and Abdullah, M.R. 2005. Performance of Indian spinach in association with Eucalyptus for agroforestry system. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 3(1) : 29-35.
- Hossain, S.M. A. and Bari, M.N.1996. Agroforestry farming System. In:M.A. Haque (ed). Agroforestry in Bangladesh. Swiss Deve. Coop. Dhaka and Bangladesh Agril. Univ. Mymenshingh.p.21-23.
- Islam, M.J. 2005. Performance of lemon and guava grown under coconut based multistoried agroforestry system. M.S. Thesis. Dept. of Agroforestry, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- Jackson, J.E. 1987. Tree and crop selection and management to optimize overall system productivity especially light utilization in agroforesrtry. Meteorology and Agroforestry. ICRAF, WHO and UNEF.
- Jaing, J.P., Zhu, J.J., Liu, T.Z., He, S.N., Zhou, Z.M. and S.U. F.J. 1994. Related changes of wheat yield and photo synthetically active radiation in paulownia

tree and wheat intercropping system. Henan Agric. Univ. Hennan China. Acta Agril. Bor Supplement eati Sinica, 9: 133-137.

- Janardhan, K.V. and K.S. Murthy. 1980. Effect of low light during vegetative stage on photosynthesis and growth attribute in rice. Ind. J. Pl. Physiol., 23: 156-162.
- Jayachandran, B. K. and Nair, G. S. 1998. Performance of mango-ginger (Curcuma amada Roxb.) under different levels of shade. J. Spices and Aromatic Crops, 7(2): 145-146.
- Kessler, J.J. 1992. The influence of Karite (Vitellaria paradoxa) and Here (Parkia biglobosa) trees on sorghum production in Burkina Faso Agrofor. Syst., 17: 97-118.
- Khan, G.S. and Aslam, R.M. 1974. Extend of Damage of wheat by sissoo proceedings of the Pakistan Forestry Conference (now, 4-8,1974.) Pakistan Forest Ins., Peshawar, p. 37-40.
- Khan, G.S. and Aslam, R.M. 1974. Extend of Damage of wheat by sissoo proceedings of the Pakistan Forestry Conference (now, 4-8,1974.) Pakistan Forest Ins., Peshawar, p. 37-40.
- Khan, G.S. and Ehrenreich, J.J. 1994. Effects of increasing distance from *Acacia nilotica* trees on wheat yield. Agroforest. Abst. 7(4):182.
- Khan, M.M. and Better, D.R. 1990. Economic analysis of agroforestry options for small irrigated farms in

Punjab Provinces, Pakistan. Pak. J For., 40: 206-209.

- Khattak, G.M., Sheikh, M.I. and Khalique, A. 1980. Growing trees with agricultural crop. Pak. J. For., 31: 95-97.
- Khybri, M.L., Gupta, R.K., Tomer, H.P.S. and Rani, S. 1992. Crop yields of rice and wheat grown in rotation as intercrops with three trees species in the outer hills of western Himalaya. Agrofor. Syst., 17: 193-204.
- Kohli, R.K. 1987. Eucalyptus An antisocial tree for social forestry. In: Social Forestry for Rural Developments (eds. Khosla, P. K. and Kohli, R. K.). ISTS. Solan: 235-241.
- Kohli, R.K., Daljeet, S. and Verma, R.C. 1990. Influence of eucalyptus shelterbelt on winter season agro ecosystems. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 33:23-31.
- Kudrjavcev, V.A. 1964. The effect and light intensity on accumulation and dry matter and carbohydrate matolism in tomatoes. Fiziol. Rast. 11:409-416. Leonardi, C. 1996. Effect of shading on pepper. Coure- protytte (Italy), 25(7):61-65. [cited from CAB Abst.1996, 989].
- Kumar, M. 1996. Bio-economic appraisal of agroforestry land use systems. M.Sc. Thesis, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of HorticLIture and Forestry, Nauni-Solan (HP), India.

- Lahiri, A.K. 1980. An appraisal of agroforestry experiments in Northern portion of North Bengal. Proceed. of 2nd Forestry Conf., Dehradun, January, 1980.
- Lal, R. 1989. Potential of agroforestry as a sustainable alternative to shifting cultivation : concluding remarks. Agrofor. Syst., 8: 239-242.
- Laosuwan, P., Sripana, P., Sirisongkran, P., Tungsmorri, A. 1987. Potential of food legumes as intercrops with young rubber. In: Food legume improvement for Asian farming system. Wallis, E.S.; Slyth, D.E. (eds.). Canberra, Australian Centre of Inter. Agric. Res., 240.
- Leopold, A. C. 1964. Plant Growth and Development. McGraw-Hill. New York
- Maguire, J.D. 1962. Speed of germination in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigour. Crop Sci., 2(1): 176-177.
- Malik, R.S. and Sharma, S.K. 1990. Moisture extraction and crop yield as function of distance from a row of *Eucalyptus tereticornis.* Agrofor. Syst., 12: 187-195.
- McMaster, G.S., Margan, J.A. and Wiltis, W.O. 1987. Effect of shading on winter wheat yield, spike characteristics and carbohydrate allocation. Natural Res. Ecol. Lab. Colorado State Univ. Fort Collins. Co. 80523. USA.
- Miah, M. G. 1993. Performance of selected multipurpose tree species and field crops grown in association as

affected by tree branch pruning. A Ph. D. dissertation. CLSU, Philippines.

- Michon, G. and Mary, F. 1994. Conversion of traditional village gardens and new economic strategies of household in the area of Bogor. Indonesia. Agroforestry System 25(1) : 31-54.
- Michon, G; Mary, F. and Bompard, J.M. 1986. Multistoried agroforestry garden systems in west Sumatra, Indonesia. Agroforestry Systems 4(4): 315-339.
- Mishra, J. 1979. Agri silviculture: A system holding great pressure for social forestry in Bihar. Ina. For., 105: 638-643.
- Nair, P. K. R. 1983. Agroforestry Systems in the Tropics. Kluwer Acad. Pub./ICRAF, Nairobi.
- Nair, P.K. 1989. Agroforestry systems in the Tropics. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Nandal, D. P., Rana, P and Kumar, A. 1999. Growth and yield of wheat under different tree spacing of *Dalbergia sissoo* based agriculture. Indian. J. Agron. 44 (2): 256-260.
- Narwal, S. S., Singh I., Singh, A. and Gupta, K. 1989. Allelopathie effects of pearlmillet extracts on the seed germination and seedling growth of India. Indian J. Ecol., 16:84-87.
- Nazir, M. S., Ahmed, R., Ehsanullah and Cheema, S.A. 1993. Quantitative analysis of effect of Shishan

(Sissoo tree shade in wheat. Pakistan J. Agril. Res. 14 (1): 12-17.

- Neuman, J.F., Pietrowicz, P., Reipshyder, W.S. and Darnhofer, T.D. 1989. Light and water availability in the fields with and without trees. An example from Nyabisindu in Rwanda. Meteorology and Agroforestry. Proceed. of an Inter. Workshop on the Application of Meterol. to Agrofor. Syst. Plane. and Managmt., Nairobi 9-13 February, 1987. pp. 401-406.
- Newman, S.M. 1997. Poplar agroforestry in India. For. Ecol. Managmt., 90: 13-17.
- Ngambeki, D.S. 1985. Economic evaluation of alley cropping Leucasena with maize-cowpea in Southern Nigeria. Agroforestry Systems, 17: 243-258.
- Okigbo, B. N. 1980. The importance of mixed stands in tropical agriculture. In: Hurd, R. G., Bisco, P. V. and Dennis, C. (eds.), Opportunity for Increasing Crop Yields. London and Melbourne.
- Okigbo, B. N. and Greenland, D. J. 1976. Inter cropping systems in tropical Africa. Multiple cropping. J. American Soc. Agric., 27: 76-83.
- Ong, C. K. Rao, M. R. and Mathuva, M.1992. Trees and Crops. Composition for resources above and below ground. Agroforest. Today 4(2): 4-5.

- Ong, C. K. Rao, M. R. and Mathuva, M.1992. Trees and Crops. Composition for resources above and below ground. Agroforest. Today 4(2): 4-5.
- Ong, C.K., Corlett, J.E; Singh, R.P. and Black C.R. 1991. Above and belowground interactions in agroforestry systems. Forest Ecology and Management. 14:45-57.
- Osei, B. K., Opoku, A. K., Amoah, F. M. and Oppong, F. K. 2002. Cacao-coconut intercropping in Ghana: agronomic and economic perspectives. Agroforestry Systems, 55 (1): 1-8.
- Palm, S., Maiti, S. and Chatterjee, B. N. 1992. Response of turmeric to N and K fertilization under alley cropping with Leucaena. J. Potassium Res., 9(2): 136-144.
- Pamard, C. and Ruf, F. 1992. Developments in coffee of production: the east coast Madagascar. Collection Documents Systemes Agraires, Systemes Agraire-du-CIRAD, Departement 16: 148p.
- PCARRD. 1983. Technology for agroforestry. Agroforestry in Perspective: Proceeding of Agroforestry Symposium Workshop, December 19-20, 1979. PCARRD, Los Banos.
- Puri, S. 1993. Effects of tree on the yield of irrigated wheat crop in semi-arid regions. Agroforest. Abst. 6(2):9.

- Puri, S. and Bangarwa, K.S. 1993. Effects of tree on the yield of irrigated wheat crop in semi-arid regions. Agroforest. Abst. 6(2):9.
- Puri, S. and Bhargava, K.S. 1992. Effects of trees on yield of irrigated wheat crop in semiarid regions. Agrofor. Syst., 20: 229-241.
- Rahim, M.A. and Haider, M.A. 2002. Multiple cropping systems for home gardens. APA News. The Asia Pacific Agroforestry Newsletter, No. 20. p.11.
- Rai, V.R.S, Swaminathan, C. and Surendrff, C. 1990.
  Studies on intercropping with copice shoots of *Eucalyptus tereticornis*. J Trop. For. Sci., 3: 97-100.
- Rang, A. Bhat, M.L., Makaya, A.S. Masoodi, N.A., Anani, A.Z. and Sharma, D.P. 1990. Agroforestry Research in India. *Indian J. Agril.* 68(8):559-566.
- Ravi, K. Agrihotri, A.K. and Kiran, R. 2001. Effect of potential shading on yield and yield attributes of wheat intercropped with Sissoo (*Dalbergia sissoo*) under shallow water table conditions. Indian Forest. 127:7, 799-803.
- Razzaque, M.A., Sattar, M.A., Amin, M.S., Qayueem, M.A., Alom, M.S. 2000. Krishi Projukti Hatboi (Hand book on Agro-Technology), Bangladesh Agril. Res. Inst., Gazipur, p. 1-10.
- Reifsnyder, W.E. 1987. Control of solar radiation in Agroforestry practices. *Meteorology and agroforestry.* ICRAF, WHO and UNEP.

- Roy, K.C., Salam, M.A., Bari M.S. and Hossain, M. F. 2005. Performance of multipurpose trees and field crops under different management practices in an Agroforestry system. Srilankan J. Nat. Sci. Found. 2006. 34(1): 16-17.
- Samsuzzaman, S., Ali, M.A., Momin, M.A., Karim, M.R. and Uddin, M.N. 2002 a. Tree crop interaction as affected by tree spacing and pruning management in Bangladesh. Indian forest. 128 (1): 1231-1204.
- Samsuzzaman, S., Ali, M.A., Momin, M.A., Karim, M.R. and Uddin, M.N. 2002 b. Tree crop interaction as affected by tree spacing and pruning management in Bangladesh. Indian forest. 128 (1): 1231-1204.
- Satish, K., Pannu, R. K., Kadinn, V.S. and Mumar, S. 2003. Effect of shade duration on wheat varieties. Ann. Biol. 19 (1): 17-20.
- Scott, L.T. 1987. Improving the Productivity of Shifting Cultivation in Amazan Basin of Derce Through the Use of Leguminous Vegetation. Ph. D. Dissertaion, North- Carolina State Univ. Rabigh.
- Sharma, K.K. 1992. Wheat cultivation in association with Acacia nilotica (L.) Wild ex Del. Field plantation - a case study. Agroforestry syst., 17: 43-51.
- Sharma, K.K. and Singh, R.K. 1992. Studies on tree crop interaction in *Populus deltoides* bund plantation under irrigated conditions. Ind. For., 118: 102-108.

- Sheikh, M.I. and Haq, R. 1978. Effect of shade of *Acacia nilotica* (Kikar/babul) and *D. sissoo* (Shisham) on the yield of wheat. Pak. J. Fly., 28 : 184-185.
- Sheikh, M.I., Chelna, A. and Roza, I I.N. 1983. Effect of poplar on the yield of wheat at Changa - Manga irrigated plantation. Pak. J. For., 33: 201-207.
- Shirazi M. A., S. M. Asif, B. Khanzada, M. A. Khan and A. Mahammad. 2001. Growth and ion accumulation in some wheat genotypes under NaCl stress. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 4: 388-391.
- Singh, G.B. 1987. Agroforestry in Indian sub-content: Past, Present mid future. Agroforestry : A decade of Development ICRAF., Nairobi: 117-138.
- Singh, G.B. 1988. Agroforestry in Indian sub-content: Past, Present mid future. Agroforestry : A decade of Development ICRAF., Nairobi: 117-138.
- Singh, R. P., C.K. Ong and W. Sharma, 1989. Above and below ground interactions in alley cropping in semiarid India. Agroforestry system. 9:259-274.
- Singh, R. P., C.K. Ong and W. Sharma, 1989. Above and below ground interactions in alley cropping in semiarid India. Agroforestry system. 9:259-274.
- Solanki, K.R. 1998. Agroforestry Research in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 68 (8, special issue) : 559-66
- Solanki, K.R. 1998. Agroforestry Research in India. Indian. J. Agric. Sci. 68(8): 559-66.

Srininvasan, V.M.; Subramaniam, S. and Rai, R.S.V. 1990. Studies on intercropping with multipurpose tree resource sharing ability of the trees. Advances in *Casuarina* research and utilization. Proceed. of the 2nd Intern. *Casuarina* Workshop, Cairo. Jan. 15-20, pp. 85-93.

- Srinivasan, V.M. and Caulfield, J. 1989. Agroforestry land use management system in developing countries - an overview. Ind For., 115: 57-71.
- Sritharam, R. and Lenz, R. 1992. Effect of light regime on growth carbohydrates and nitrate concentration in kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea* var gongylodes). Angewandte Botanik, 66(3-4): 130-134.
- Struik, G.C. 1985. Research into tuber initiation, growth and size distribution in the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Mededelingeen-vangroep-landlovw plantenteelt- en- grassland kurde, Landouwhogeschool, Wagenigen 85:66.
- Sutter, T. 1987. Shading and mulching effect on potato yield. Bulle. Penetition Hortikultura. 15(1): 191-108.
- Swaminathan, M.S. 1987. The promise of agroforestry for ecological and nutritional stability. ICRAF Nairobi, Kenya, pp 25-40.
- Teel, W.S. and Buck, L.E. 2002. Between wild crafting and monocultures agroforestry options. pp. 199-222. In: Jones E.T., McLain R.J. and Weigand J. (eds), *Non-Timber Forest Products in the United*

*States*. University Press of Kansas, Lawrence, KS. USA.

- Thakur, P.S. and Singh, S. 2004. Influence. of tree canopy size modification of Morus alba on production of Vigna mungo and Pisumno sativurn in agroforestry system. Ind. J. Pl. Physiol., 8:542-549.
- Tiwari, K.M. 1968. Prospects of poplar plantation in U.P. Ind. For., 94: 163-166.
- Torquaebian, E.1994.Ecological interaction in Agroforestry. Lecture Notes, Introduction training Course, ICRAF, p.1-36.
- Vergara, N.T. 1982. New directions in Agroforestry. The potentioals of tropical legume trees, EAPI East-West Cent. Hawaii.Honolulu.
- Viswanath S, Kaushik PK, Pandey DK and Amit Sahai (1998) Effect of *Acacia nilotica* (L.) Willd Ex. Del. On rainfed rice crop in Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh. Annals of Forestry 6: 103-109.
- Wang, P. and Nakaseko, K. 1986. Effect of shading before and after heading on growth and yield of spring wheat. Japanese. J. Crop Sci. 55(4): 513-519.
- Willey, R.W. and Roberts, E.H. 1976. Mixed cropping. In:
  Solar Energy in Agriculture. Joint international
  Solar Energy Society Conference (Proceedings)
  University of Reading, England.
- Wilson, G.F. and Kang, B.T. 1981. Developing stable and productive biological cropping system for the

humid tropics. In: Storehouse, B. (ed.), A Scientific Approach to Organic Farming: 193-203. London: Butterworth.

- Yamoah, C.F., A.A. Agboola and K.Molongay. 1986. Decomposition, nitrogen release and weed control by pruning of selected alley cropping shrubs Agroforestry Systems. 4 : 239-246.
- Yamoah, C.F., Agboola, A.A. and Wilson, G.F. 1986. Nutrient Contribution and maize performance in alley cropping systems. Agrofor. Syst., 4:239-246.
- Yamoah, C.F., Agboola, A.A. and Wilson, G.F. 1986. Nutrient Contribution and maize performance in alley cropping systems. Agrofor. Syst., 4:239-246.

## APPENDICES

Appendix I: The physical and chemical properties of soil in Agroforestry farm HSTU,				
Dinajpur Soil characters	Physical and chemical properties			
Texture				
Sand (%)	65			
Silt (%)	30			
Clay(%)	5			
Textural class CEC (meq/ 100g)	Sandy Ioam 8.07			
рН	5.35			
Organic matter (%)	1.06			
Total nitrogen (%)	0.10			
Sodium (meq/ 100g)	0.06			
Calcium (meq/ 100g)	1.30			
Magnesium (meq/ 100g)	0.40			
Potassium (meq/ 100g)	0.26			
Phosphorus (µg/g)	24.0			
Sulphur (μg/g) Boron (μg/g)	3.2 0.27			
lron (μg/g)	5.30			
Zinc (µg/g) Source: Soil Resources	0.90 Development Institute,			
Dinainur $(2012)$				

Dinajpur (2012)

#### Appendix II. Weather data of the experimental site during the period from November 2012 to March 2013

	* Air Temperature (C)			* Rainfall	*
Months	Maximum Minimum		Average	(mm)	Relative
	IVIAXIMUM	Minimum Average		(Minimum)	Humidity
November	29.85	19.68	24.77	05	88.50
December	28.70	18.45	23.56	18	85.92
January	27.20	16.10	21.65	12	83.45
February	26.95	15.78	21.37	00	82.20
March	29.61	20.57	25.09	18.50	80.61

\* = Monthly average

Source: Meterological Station, Wheat Research Center, Noshipur, Dinajpur.